

Policy Paper

Impact of Artificial Intelligence-enabled Technologies on Education

Adopted by the ETUCE Conference, the Regional Conference of Education International, on 26-27 November 2024

Background

Recent years have marked an unprecedented increase in the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI)-enabled technologies in the education sector¹, further accelerated by emergency remote teaching and learning during the COVID pandemic.

Technologies such as analytics, chatbots, expert systems, natural language processing, intelligent tutoring systems, social robots, generative AI systems, immersive environments, and large data processing are being increasingly used in the education sector at all levels.

Defining AI-enabled education technologies and their impact is challenging due to the fast pace of technological advancement, the existence of multiple definitions, and the lack of research on the impact their impact on education, teaching and students' cognitive development. Considered the last frontier of innovation, the term 'artificial intelligence' is predominant in all domains of the European economy and society, with the education sector making no exception. The terms AI-enabled technologies and digital technologies are often used in combination or improperly confused.

For the purpose of this policy paper, 'AI-enabled technologies' is understood as encompassing the following components:

Firstly, an artificial component which may be identified as a machine, software, algorithm, or mathematical technique. Secondly, a human component, which is responsible for setting objectives and/or instructions and inputting them into the artificial intelligence system. Third is the AI system's action, which consists of making predictions, recommendations, and decisions in either virtual realm, or extended environments.

AI-enabled technologies can also be distinguished by their level of automation; as the level of automation of the technology increases, the degree of control exercised by teachers over the

¹ It is understood that the education sector encompasses all levels of education including early childhood education, general education, higher education and research, vocational education and training as well as initial teacher education and continuous professional development.

31 technology correspondingly decreases. At intermediate levels, human control and technology
32 automation work in a hybrid manner, blending human judgment with technological assistance.

33 Whereas the policy paper highlights that AI systems differ from traditional digital technologies, the
34 increasing availability and affordability of AI-enabled technologies, particularly generative AI,
35 alongside growing trends to reduce public investment in education, are likely to favour a widespread
36 integration of artificial intelligence into more traditional digital technologies.

37 AI-enabled technologies promise to impact various facets of education. For teachers, these
38 technologies could be used to assist with critical tasks related to their role such as long as the principle
39 of professional autonomy is respected. For students, AI can improve learning experiences through
40 intelligent tutoring systems, personalised learning paths, and real-time feedback tailored to the
41 students' needs and progress. At the organisational level, AI-enabled technologies can assist
42 educational institutions by offering data-driven insights for enhanced decision-making, optimising
43 resource allocation, and streamlining administrative processes. Nevertheless, despite growing interest
44 in and use of AI-enabled technologies in the education sector, their long-term impact on teachers,
45 education staff and students has still to be observed and their real benefit for education sector yet to
46 be proved as there is little data available national approaches to AI-enabled technologies in
47 education'.

48 Education trade unions in Europe continuously strive for attractive working conditions and quality
49 inclusive education for all, firmly grounded in education as a fundamental human right and a public
50 good for everyone. Education trade unions acknowledge that AI-enabled technologies introduces both
51 opportunities and challenges to educational pedagogies, recruitment, and working conditions. AI-
52 enabled technologies must be conceived, developed, and used while respecting the values of
53 education as a human right and a public good, democracy, and inclusion. In this context, education
54 trade unions are committed to leveraging AI's potential while addressing its challenges to ensure the
55 implementation of these technologies upholds the principles of inclusion, sustainability, democracy,
56 and participation.

57 Consistent with the Resolutions adopted by the 8th EI Congress in Bangkok (2019), the Resolutions
58 adopted by the ETUCE Conference in 2020 and the ETUCE Extraordinary Conference 2022, and moving
59 from the ETUCE Policy Paper [on the 21st Century Teaching Profession and the Use of ICT](#), and the
60 ETUCE Resolution [Campaigning to enhance the Teaching Profession for Solidarity, Democracy, Equality
61 and Sustainability](#) (2020), this policy paper directly implements the ETUCE Resolution on [Artificial
62 Intelligence in the Education Sector](#) (2021) in which ETUCE member organisations committed to
63 'developing a common policy strategy at European level to address and overcome the concerns of
64 education trade unions on Artificial Intelligence in education, both in terms of professional issues and
65 working conditions'.

66 This policy paper further implements the Resolution on Technology, Artificial Intelligence and The
67 Future of the Teaching Profession as well as the Resolution on Data Collection and Privacy in Education
68 (2024) adopted at the 10th EI Congress in Buenos Aires (2024). It aligns with the EI-OECD Principles on
69 [Opportunities, guidelines and guardrails for effective and equitable use of AI in Education](#) and other
70 existing ETUCE policy documents on digitalisation and artificial intelligence.

71

72 **Aim and objective**

73 This ETUCE policy paper sets forth the vision and priorities of education trade unions in Europe on the
74 Impact of Artificial Intelligence-enabled technologies on Education. Recognising the duality of artificial
75 intelligence embedding both risks and opportunities for education staff, the policy paper aims to:

- 76 • Set out overarching essential principles to ensure a beneficial impact of AI on education staff.
- 77 • Identify the main impact of AI on education staff, their rights and working conditions.
- 78 • Put forward recommendations for ETUCE and its member organisations.

79 While the primary role of education trade unions is to anticipate the long-term impact of digital
80 technologies, including AI-enabled technologies, and address their consequences on education staff,
81 our concern is also to ensure equitable quality education for all. Indeed, education trade unions are
82 continuously exploring the impact of technologies on students, their well-being, learning processes
83 and the education system as a whole. With this view, the present policy paper focuses on the impact
84 that artificial intelligence-enabled technologies have on education staff employed at all levels of
85 education, their rights, and working conditions. It further includes the main implications of these
86 technologies on students and learning as well as on education systems, but an exhaustive overview in
87 the latter dimensions would require a specific policy paper.

88
89

90 **Essential guiding principles**

91 The following guiding principles are identified as essential conditions that must be respected to
92 ensure that AI in education is used responsibly and effectively. Respecting these principles is essential
93 to ensure that technologies do not harm fundamental human and workers' rights, promote inclusion
94 and diversity, and uphold democratic values.

95

96 **Education as a fundamental Human Right**

97 Education is not merely a service or a commodity; it is a fundamental human right essential for the
98 development and dignity of every individual. The first principle of the European Pillar of Social Rights
99 which defines the right to all to education, training, and lifelong learning, including students and
100 teachers. This principle is to be enforced in the EU countries and serves as a guide the non-EU
101 countries to improve their education systems. Access to quality education should be guaranteed for
102 all, irrespective of gender, sexual orientation, abilities and educational needs, economic status, ethnic
103 origin, language, religion, and migratory and citizenship status, or any other characteristic while
104 preserving the human connection and social value of education as a prerogative. When used in
105 education, AI-enabled technologies should ensure equitable access to educational opportunities and
106 resources. This means designing and implementing AI systems in a way that addresses the barriers to
107 education faced by minorities, and communities in remote areas and disadvantaged backgrounds, as
108 well as regions with limited infrastructure. Governments and education authorities have a
109 responsibility to prioritise education as a fundamental human right in their policies and resource
110 allocation and keep it free from private and commercial interests. AI should be utilised when teachers
111 find it appropriate as a tool to enhance the quality of inclusive education and to bridge the gaps in
112 access and quality, thereby fulfilling the right to education for all individuals.

113 **Respect for Democracy:**

114 In a democratic society, decisions regarding the use of AI in education should be made transparently,

115 inclusively, and accountably. In education, this means involving teachers, academics, researchers,
116 other education personnel, as well as other stakeholders such as students and parents from the onset
117 of the decision-making processes related to AI design, selection, implementation and monitoring. AI
118 systems should be designed, developed and deployed in a manner that upholds democratic values
119 such as fairness, accountability, transparency, and justice. In the education sector, that has been
120 identified as a high-risk sector for the use of AI system (e.g., AI Act and Council of Europe's
121 Convention of AI), this entails a stronger responsibility for governments and the development chain
122 of AI systems to ensure that AI technologies, in particular the training data and methods, are not
123 biased or discriminatory, that they respect diversity and pluralism, as well as privacy and ethics, and
124 that they do not infringe on any human and workers' rights.

125

126 **Human-Centred Approach to Technologies**

127 AI-enabled technologies in education should be designed and implemented with a focus on
128 enhancing human capabilities and experiences. Education staff must be active participants, not
129 passive recipients, and should be involved from the outset in of the design, implementation, and
130 monitoring of AI systems in education. Besides, it is essential to ensure that AI-enabled technologies
131 empower teachers and students, providing tools that enhance their ability to teach and learn
132 effectively rather than reducing their roles to mere users of machines. Additionally, a strong
133 emphasis must be placed on ethics in AI-enabled technologies and the long-term effects of intensified
134 use of AI-technologies to basic human skills such as thinking skills, reading, literacy, and creativity, as
135 well as their impact on socio-emotional learning.

136

137 **Empower and not replace teachers**

138 AI-enabled technologies should empower, not replace, the critical and unique role of teachers and
139 other education personnel, especially when it comes to education for special needs. This can only be
140 implemented safely and in a pedagogically meaningful way if teachers and education staff are
141 adequately trained and supported on the potential use, benefits, and challenges of AI-enabled
142 technologies and their impact on their jobs, tasks and responsibilities. Teachers and other education
143 staff must be seen as equal partners and be involved in strategy settings and decisions at national,
144 regional, and education institution levels on AI-enabled technologies. Besides, teachers' and
145 academics' professional autonomy to decide when it is appropriate to use these technologies must
146 be ensured. Crucially, high-stake decisions in education, such as hiring and evaluating teachers,
147 admitting students to education programmes and evaluating them, should not be left to AI-based
148 decision-making. AI should be considered a complementary tool to assist teachers and other
149 education personnel in delivering quality and inclusive education rather than a substitute for their
150 professional skills and personal interactions with students. The use of AI-enabled technologies should
151 not hinder the access and quality of essential human components of education, such as empathy,
152 mentorship, and personalised guidance, which cannot be replicated or multiplied by AI-enabled
153 technologies and online or remote teaching.

154

155 **Needs-Based Technology Integration**

156 The integration of AI-enabled technologies must not be driven for on the sake of innovation or
157 commercial profit but by pedagogical and professional needs identified through general educational
158 strategies agreed upon by the whole educational community. In addition, the implementation of AI-

159 enabled technologies should respect the principle “as much as needed, as little as possible” and must
160 be compatible with the goal of providing high-quality, holistic education.

161

162 **Transparency and Accountability**

163 Transparency in the collection, use, and sharing of data generated by educational technologies
164 should be ensured. Stakeholders must understand how their data is being used and have control
165 over it. The transparency of algorithms used in educational technologies should be promoted, in
166 order to allow teachers, other education staff and students to understand and trust the processes
167 behind AI-enabled technologies, particularly when these are used in decision-making affecting them.
168 Companies must be held accountable for the data they collect, use, and profit from, ensuring
169 compliance with privacy regulations and transparency in digital trade practices. They should be
170 legally obliged to disclose the algorithms driving their AI systems, making them accessible for
171 scrutiny. Moreover, clear accountability must be established for the actors responsible for the misuse
172 or harmful and discriminatory outcomes of AI-enabled technologies.

173

174 **Equity and Inclusion**

175 The principle of equal access to AI-enabled technologies for all should be embraced, transcending
176 socio-economic and other barriers. While technology holds promises of enhancing pedagogies,
177 easing teacher workload, and addressing labour shortages, evidence suggests it can exacerbate
178 disparities among students and education institutions, hinder the learning experience and students’
179 well-being and increase the administrative burden of teachers and other education staff. Notably,
180 the growing use of online training courses for teachers (e.g., MOOCs) based on standardised
181 knowledge entail the concrete risks of a biased and discriminatory approach towards teachers,
182 especially those from minority groups. Furthermore, factors such as the lack of diversity in the
183 workers tasked with the design and training of AI systems, as well as the unequal representation in
184 the dataset used for AI training, are serious factors leading to discrimination and various algorithmic
185 biases. These contrasts highlight the imperative for evidence-based assessments of technology’s
186 impact on education, recognising the diverse contexts and varying accessibility to tools and
187 infrastructure.

188

189 **Sustainability and Environmental Responsibility**

190 AI-enabled technologies in education must be developed, implemented, and maintained in line with
191 sustainability and environmental responsibility, including the ecological footprint, from the energy
192 consumption required for data processing to the environmental impact of hardware production and
193 disposal. This includes supporting research into sustainable AI solutions, lowering the energy
194 consumption and impact of data centers, and incorporating sustainability criteria in the procurement
195 of educational technologies. Ultimately, the responsible use of AI technologies in education should
196 reflect a holistic commitment to sustainability in every aspect of education.

197

198

199 **Recommendations**

200 Based on the above-mentioned guiding principles, the ETUCE Conference calls on national education
201 trade unions to take actions in the following areas:

202

203 **1. Impact on Teachers' Working Conditions**

- 204 • Assess the impact of AI-enabled technologies on education staff, including recruitment, working
205 conditions, workload, data privacy and security, intellectual property rights, work-life balance
206 and pedagogical strategies, and assessment.
- 207 • Evaluate the impact of AI-enabled technologies on teachers' performance management through
208 social dialogue and collective bargaining, advocating for a strong emphasis on fair, transparent,
209 and democratic processes that prioritise professional development.
- 210 • Advocate for policies and practices ensuring that the integration of AI-enabled technologies
211 respect the culture of trust in education institutions, professional autonomy and academic
212 freedom, ensuring the right of teachers, academics, and education staff to make informed and
213 conscious choices about when and how to use AI-enabled technologies and, whenever applicable,
214 how to best integrate them.
- 215 • Emphasise the impact on intellectual property rights of teachers, academics and researchers by
216 advocating for legislation to require AI developers to declare the lawful acquisition of training
217 datasets and to be held liable for copyright violations.
- 218 • Address the health and safety implications of technology use, protecting the right to disconnect,
219 and providing resources to mitigate physical strain and mental health concerns.

220

221 **2. Impact on Pedagogy**

- 222 • Examine how technology affects both pedagogy and the teaching profession, recognising the
223 interconnected yet distinct nature of these dimensions.
- 224 • Promote discussions and analysis within the educational community on the ethical implications
225 of AI-enabled technologies and their long-term effects on basic human cognitive development.
- 226 • Encourage critical thinking and evaluation of AI-enabled technologies in education, maintaining
227 a balance between personalisation and standardisation.
- 228 • Promote the integration of AI-enabled technologies in a manner that fosters collaborative
229 teaching and learning, empowering both teachers and students to engage more effectively and
230 creatively.
- 231 • Assess the impact of AI on the quality of available educational resources, cultural and linguistic
232 diversity and potential embedded biases.

233

234 **3. Reinforce Regulatory Frameworks**

- 235 • Advocate for the development of robust regulatory frameworks to govern the use of AI-enabled
236 technologies at the national and European level.
- 237 • Demand involvement of education staff and education trade unions in the design,
238 implementation and monitoring of strategies for AI-enabled technologies in the education
239 sector.
- 240 • Advocate for stronger coordinated action among countries at European level and better address
241 common interests and challenges, including the shortcoming of existing legislations (e.g., on AI,
242 as well as data privacy and security).
- 243 • Demand that governments establish clear oversight mechanisms to protect the value of
244 education as a human right and a public good from undue commercial influence.

245

246 **4. Enhancing social dialogue and education trade union capacity**

- 247 • Strengthen negotiation and collective bargaining to address the challenges arising from the use
248 of AI-enabled technologies in the education sector and ensure better protection of work-related
249 rights of education workers.
- 250 • Foster social dialogue to ensure that education trade unions actively participate in governance
251 and oversight of AI-enabled technologies in public education, including procurement,
252 implementation, and monitoring of these technologies.
- 253 • Strengthen the role of education unions in governance and oversight of AI-enabled technologies
254 in order to improve the unions' role in establishing clear frameworks for education professionals
255 to take well informed decisions on the use of AI in their work.
- 256 • Encourage and strengthen local union representatives to obtain in their schools or school groups,
257 the development and elaboration of an AI policy through constructive social dialogue.
- 258 • Reinforce advocacy actions by developing specific demands towards national and European
259 regulatory authorities, education authorities, and policymakers to govern the role of AI in
260 education and the actions of Edtech companies in education.
- 261 • Engage in negotiations with governments aiming to secure terms and conditions in AI
262 technologies that guarantee transparency, privacy, and adaptability to pedagogical needs.

263

264 **5. EdTech and Commercialisation of education**

- 265 • Scrutinise the role of EdTech companies to counter privatisation and commercialisation, ensuring
266 AI-enabled technologies serve public interests and uphold educational and democratic values.
267 While the EdTech industry increasingly views education as a market for profit, education
268 authorities often consider technology as a way to reduce costs. Digitization and data collection
269 is not neutral. It influences the conception of what (good) education is and (co)shapes the
270 teaching and learning process.
- 271 • Monitor public procurement procedures aiming at contracting out educational and data
272 management services.
- 273 • Advocate for the respect of data minimisation principles by EdTech companies, while ensuring
274 transparency, accountability, privacy, and accessibility on the data collection and storage.
- 275 • Hold governments, education authorities, and EdTech companies accountable to ensure that AI-
276 enabled technologies in education do not undermine the efforts towards sustainable
277 development and the fight against climate change, including through mandatory disclosure of
278 data on energy consumption and carbon footprint.

279

280 **6. AI-informed decision-making vs automated decision making**

- 281 • Education authorities should prioritise teachers' and students' well-being above the introduction
282 of AI-enabled technologies.
- 283 • Ensure that AI-enabled technologies in education do not replace the professional judgement of
284 teachers and other education staff. Decision-making supported by AI systems should be
285 exceptional and assessed on a case-by-case basis, ensuring the principle of human review.
- 286 • Especially ensure that no high-stake decisions regarding employment such as teachers' and other
287 education staff's qualifications, contracts, performance and evaluation are taken by AI-enabled
288 technologies.
- 289 • Advocate for mechanisms to be in place for teachers and other education staff to input objective

290 human judgment into AI-supported decisions. In case of violations, provide support for education
291 workers to appeal to competent courts, with the backing of education trade unions.
292 • Confronting techno-ableism, i.e. a tendency to use a technology as a “solution” for “fixing”
293 special needs and disabilities. Education systems shape the perspectives of future generations,
294 and when these systems are imbued with techno-ableism, they perpetuate a narrow
295 understanding of special needs and disability.

296

297 **7. Initial education and continuous professional development**

298 • Advocate for the integration of digital, online and media literacy within teacher initial education
299 and continuous professional development programmes. This is important not only to ensure that
300 teachers and other education staff might make conscious decisions on when and how to
301 integrate AI-enabled technologies within their pedagogical strategies, but also to empower them
302 to develop a critical understanding of AI-enabled technologies, including understanding their
303 benefits and potential risks and make informed decision about their use and the need of
304 educating students to understand the impact and ethical questions relating to these
305 technologies.

306 • Promote equal access to training opportunities for all teachers and other education staff by
307 organising free-of-charges training activities and within regular working hours.

308 • Ensure that teacher training programmes are up-to-date, meaningful for their professional
309 needs, and implemented according to collegial decisions.

310 • The integration of AI-enabled technologies in education should align with broader goals of
311 sustainability education, fostering an understanding among teachers, other education staff and
312 students about the environmental implications of emerging technologies.

313

314 **8. Auditing and Impact Assessment Tools**

315 • Develop tools to audit and assess the impact of digital and AI technologies in education
316 processes, guiding policy and practice based on concrete evidence.

317 • Use impact assessments tools to identify and mitigate the negative consequences of AI-enabled
318 technologies in education through trials and long-term monitoring mechanisms during the
319 technology lifetime. Emphasise that education at its core is social in nature, where the human
320 relations between students and teachers play an irreplaceable role. Therefore, the integration of
321 AI systems should respect the principle ‘as much as necessary, as little as possible’.

322 • Demand access to further research and easy access to data to improve audit mechanisms and
323 evaluation of AI-enabled technologies

324 • Demand more independent research on the impact of AI-enabled technologies on teachers,
325 education staff and students and their real benefit for the education sector.

326

327 **9. Respect for human rights and Ethical Use of Technology**

328 • Advocate for updating human rights frameworks to address AI-enabled technologies in
329 education, ensuring that existing universal values and rights are effectively applied to the
330 education sector.

331 • Demand further efforts from government and educational authorities to ensure the right of
332 every student and teacher, regardless of their economic and social background and/or

333 geographical location, to access high-quality AI-enabled tools. It is crucial that these AI tools meet
334 high standards, and that the professional judgment of teachers determines in which situations
335 they are used. . Greater efforts must be placed to detecting hidden biases and ensuring effective
336 remedies in case of violations.

- 337 • Call for stronger regulatory actions from government and regulatory authorities to ensure the
338 EdTech industry operates with greater transparency, and guarantees the privacy and safety of
339 students, teachers, and other education staff.
- 340 • Provide guidelines and support for teachers and other education staff in using AI-enabled
341 technologies that respect students' rights and privacy and avoid all kinds of discrimination and
342 exclusion. Advocate for legal protections for teachers from liability when using mandated
343 technologies.
- 344 • Develop multi-professional teams to oversee the human rights and ethical aspects of AI-enabled
345 technologies hand-in-hand with the pedagogy and working conditions.

346
347

348 **The ETUCE Conference further mandates ETUCE to:**

- 349 • Support education trade unions in identifying the evolving impact of Artificial Intelligence-
350 enabled Technologies on Education through training activities and continuous research.
- 351 • Develop concrete practical guidelines for education trade unions to foster effective collective
352 bargaining and advocacy action on AI-enabled technologies.
- 353 • Share insights and foster education trade union strategies to assess the impact of emerging
354 European regulations (e.g., AI Act, upcoming Council of Europe's Convention on AI, and
355 Education) and explore how education trade unions can effectively leverage these
356 frameworks to protect and advance the interests of teachers and other education staff.
- 357 • Provide a platform for education trade unions for mutual learning and information sharing
358 on the impact of AI systems in education.
- 359 • Advocate and promote the ETUCE policies and guidelines on AI in education towards
360 European institutions, particularly the Council of Europe and the European Parliament.

361
362

363
364

365
366

367
368

369
370

371
372